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2009 - Epic Legal Battle Begins

Gucci filed a lawsuit in New York Federal Court (along with those filed in Italy, France, and China)

- Alleged Guess had infringed four of its trademarks and one trade dress
- Alleged Guess was trying to “Gucci-fy” its product
- Sought $124 MM in damages
This case involves five Gucci designs (Gucci designs shown on the left)

• 2. The Repeating Interlocking GG Pattern

• 3. The Diamond Motif Trade Dress
• 4. The Stylized G Design mark (“Stylized G”)

• 5. The Script Gucci Design mark (“Script Gucci”)
2012: US Decision

Trademarks Infringed, Trade Dress Not

Permanent Injunction, But Limited Damages

- US$4.66 million--profit Guess made from using two Gucci trademarks; damages speculative
- Permanent injunction against Guess’ use of three of the four challenged designs

Cancellation of Guess’ “4G Square Repeating Logo”

- Guess never used the Quattro G Patten in a square orientation
- Only use was on a diamond orientation (like Gucci)
The Lanham Act defines a counterfeit as a “spurious mark which is identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from a registered mark.”

In order to be considered a counterfeit, the entire product should be copied stitch-for-stitch.
Effect of US Decision

- The U.S decision has contributed to the creation of stronger limitations on the use of patterns that could be considered similar to another brand’s in the fashion industry.
- Burden of proof of reasonable royalty and/or actual damages in the form of lost sales or harm to brand value is very difficult to meet—speculative estimates will be dismissed and only defendant’s profits will be returned.
Takeaways

• Guess’ CEO, Paul Marciano, expressed the following:
  “The results in this case show that Gucci grossly overreached in its claims and the entire case could have been avoided with a single letter or phone call.”

• Really? Was Gucci overreaching in its claims, considering the different results in Italy, France, and China?
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