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Introduction
The EPO’s commitment to the public

- EPO acknowledges divergence of RO practices on corrections of erroneously filed application documents
- EPO recognizes users’ need for a smart solution
- EPO provided constructive support during legislative process
- Solution caters to the needs of all stakeholders
  - Legal certainty
  - Transparency
  - Protection of third parties
- Equal service levels for Euro-direct and Euro-PCT applications
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The EPO’s approach to finding a solution

Legal certainty

- Filing date accorded to elements on file on that date
- No addition of subject-matter to application-as-filed
- Correction of elements and parts by incorporation by reference must be a strictly regulated exception
- Clear differentiation between “missing parts” and “erroneous” filings
- Clear conditions for correcting erroneous filings
- All elements must remain part of application

- Article 11(1) and (2) PCT
- Articles 14(2), 19(2), 34(2) PCT
- Article 123(2) EPC (G 3/89)
- Rule 20.5bis(a)(ii) PCT
- Rule 20.5(a) and .5bis(d) PCT
- Rule 20.5bis(a) to (d), .7 PCT
- Rule 20.5bis(d) PCT
The EPO’s approach to finding a solution

Transparency

- The basis for any decision must be clear to the public from the file

- Designated/elected Offices determine the disclosure of the application-as-filed

- Removal of erroneous elements from application must be an exception restricted to what was on file before the filing date

- Removed element must remain in public file and must be translated for review purposes
The EPO’s approach to finding a solution
Protection of third parties

- Publication of application-as-filed informs about possible future scope of protection
- Third parties may trust that no subject-matter is added to the application-as-filed
- Correction of application-as-filed after publication is not acceptable
- Short time limits to ensure closure of procedure for according filing date in time for publication
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Relation between the new PCT framework and the EPC

Principles governing “application-as-filed”

- Every international application is a European application as of the filing date
- EPO is bound by the PCT as to form and contents
- EPO/DO determines disclosure as of filing date

Article 11(3) PCT and Article 153(2) EPC

Article 27(1) PCT and Article 150(2) EPC

Article 27(5) PCT and Article 123(2) EPC
Determination of the "application-as-filed"

Article 80 EPC

- Article 80 EPC relegates filing date requirements to the Implementing Regulations

- Rule 40(1) EPC = filing date for application documents on file on that date

- Rules 40(2) and 56(3) EPC make narrow exceptions recognized by case law of the boards of appeal

- Rule 56(3) EPC only for “objectively missing” parts according to case law of the boards of appeal
Determination of the "application-as-filed"

**Article 123(2) EPC**

- No amendment adding subject-matter to “the contents of the application as filed”
  - Claims, description and drawings as filed
  - No priority documents even if on file on filing date

- **Article 123(2) EPC applies to corrections under Rule 139, second sentence, EPC**

- **Article 123(2) EPC applies to application documents to which a filing date was accorded**
  - Rule 56(3) EPC is narrow exception under Article 80 EPC
  - Rule 20.5bis(d) PCT is a broader exception
Outlook
Assessing the need for a notice of incompatibility and possible future steps

- EPO as RO and DO/EO applies PCT by virtue of EPC
- Compatibility with Articles 80 and 123(2) EPC
- Involvement of EPC contracting states
- Provision of equal service levels for Euro-direct applicants
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