FICPI OPEN FORUM Venice, Italy - 25-28 October, 2017



BORDER CONTROL: Special Programs to Prevent Piracy and Counterfeiting

Presentation by

Gabriel Leonardos



Introduction: Legal Framework - Brazil

- Civil Law Country
- Strong Tradition in IP Protection
- Full Democracy Independent Judicial System
- Recession 2015/2016 fell from 6th to 9th largest Economy
- IP Infringement Acts are both Crimes and Civil Torts
- Criminal persecution is not effective
- Import Counterfeits barred through law-suits or administrative measures
- Parallel Imports: Special Remark



Brazilian exposure to counterfeit trade import/export

- High level of domestic taxation: 36% of the country's GDP
- 16,000km of borders with neighbouring countries
- Smuggling ⇔ Counterfeiting
- Cigarettes: 45% of the Brazilian Market are counterfeits
- Other main sectors affected: clothes, cosmetcis and pharmaceutical drugs
- Brazil does not export counterfeits



Measures available to trademark owners

- Customs Authorities: "Directory of Trademark Representatives"
- BPTO: "National Anti-Counterfeiting Directory" redundant!



Basis for Actions

• Provisions in TRIPs Agreement, the Brazilian Industrial Property Act and the Brazilian Customs Regulations.

Trademark must be registered in Brazil



- Trademark and Registrant info and contact details
- Portuguese language mandatory in the proceedings
- Local representative is mandatory





Timeframe and cost for the filing procedure

- No oficial fee for filing; service fees minimal
- Registration is straightforward
- Customs officers inform Trademark Owners, who have 10 days to file a complaint
- Different customs offices in Brazil have diferente procedures: sometimes it
 is a purely administrative procedure; sometimes a law-suit is required
- Total costs of a complaint vary: lower if it is purely administrative complaint; higher if there is the need of a law-suit

Effectiveness of Customs

Highly Effective, in the cases detected by Customs



Procedure for seizure

- There is no small consignment exemption
- Destruction of counterfeits is not always obtained





- Professional relationship with Customs officers
- Quick reaction by Trademark Owner
- Burden of Proof relies on Importer
- Training Customs Agents is Advisable







Special Appeal 725,531 - Superior Court of Justice - April 28, 2009: Customs
 Authorities have the legal competence to seize counterfeit goods without
 the need of a court order







Court Decisions

 Appeal 200051010055070 the Federal Court of Appeals of Rio de Janeiro -March 14, 2011: autoparts labelled "original" are counterfeits, despite the fact that an autopart manufacturer is allowed to mention the trademark of the automobile in which the parts will be used











THANK YOU!

Gabriel Leonardos

Gabriel.Leonardos@kasznarleonardos.com